

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 104154

62

ORIGIN COME-00

INFO OCT-01 CIAE-00 DODE-00 EB-07 NSAE-00 TRSE-00 EUR-12

ERDA-05 ISO-00 EA-06 ACDA-05 /036 R

DRAFTED BY COM:OEA:PPD:CGSEASWORD/JBOIDOCK/KAM

APPROVED BY STATE-EB/EWT/JMGREGORY, JR.

COM:OEA:PPD:EPWALINSKY

----- 026793

R 051608Z HAY 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USMISSION OECD PARIS

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 104154

EXCON

EO 11652: XGDS-1

TAGS: ESTC, COCOM

SUBJECT: COCOM LIST REVIEW - IL 1501, AVIONICS

REFS: 1) 4TH CORRIGENDUM TO DOC. (69)1000

--- 2) REV (74)1501/WP 1

--- 3) REV (74)1501/1

--- 4) REV (65)1501/WP 1

--- 5) REV (65)1501/3

--- 6) REV (65)1501/WP 2

--- 7) REV (65)1501/4

--- 8) REV (65)1501/6

--- 9) POLTO A-249, NOVEMBER 19, 1965

--- 10) TTG NO.TE-1063, JANUARY12, 1966

1. OUR REVIEW OF 1501 IN PREPARATION FOR ROUND III HAS UNCOVERED WHAT APPEARS TO BE AN AMBIGUOUS SITUATION CONCERNING THE JUNE 1972 CHANGE (REF 1) IN THE ENGLISH TEXT OF SUB-ITEM (B)(1)(III)(B), WHICH UP TO THEN HAD REMAINED CONSTANT SINCE THE 1965 LIST REVIEW. THAT AMENDMENT INSERTED THE WORD "DISPLAYED", MAKING THE MEASUREMENT PARAMETER OF THE SUB-ITEM READ: ".....HAVING A DISPLAYED  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 104154

ELECTRICAL OUTPUT ACCURACY....." WE BELIEVE THE AMEND-  
MEND MADE BY REF 1 IS A SIGNIFICANT CHANGE WHICH IN CON-  
NECTION WITH THE FRENCH PROPOSAL TO AMEND THE SOU (REF2)  
COULD CREATE LOOPHOLES IN 1501(B) COVERAGE.

2. THE US POSITION SO FAR IS TO OPPOSE CHANGING THE  
SOU. IT MAY BECOME NECESSARY TO PROPOSE THAT SUB-ITEM (B)  
(1)(III)(B) BE RETURNED TO ITS PRE-JUNE 1972 ENGLISH VER-  
SION. BEFORE CONSIDERING SUCH A PROPOSAL, HOWEVER, WE  
WOULD LIKE THE KNOW THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE JUNE 1972  
CHANGE (REF 1). THE FRENCH EXPLANATION IN PARA 26 OF REF  
3 SUGGESTS THAT THE WORD "DISPLAYED" HAD BEEN INADVERTENT-  
LY OMITTED FROM THE ENGLISH TEXT SINCE 1965. IF SO, SUCH  
AN OMISION WOULD SEEM TO BE AT VARIANCE WITH THE RECORD  
OF THE 1965 DISCUSSIONS.

3. IN 1965, THE FRENCH PROPOSED CHANGING THE THEN-DE-  
FINITION OF SUB-ITEM (B)(1)(III)(B) BY ADDING THE WORD  
"DISPLAYED" (REF 4). TO MEET US AND UK CONCERN (REF 5),  
THE FRENCH SUBMITTED AN EXPLANATORY NOTE (REF 6) WHICH  
SUBSEQUENTLY BECAME THE CURRENT SOU. THE US COUNTERED  
WITH THE SUGGESTION OF REPLACING "DISPLAYED" WITH THE  
WORDS "ELECTRICAL OUTPUT" BEFORE "ACCURACY" IN THE  
SUB-ITEM (REF 7), WHICH WAS ACCEPTED BY THE COMMITTEE  
ALONG WITH THE FRENCH NOTE (REF 8). (REFS 9 AND 10 CONTAIN  
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE THE US POSITION ON THE MATTER.)

4. AGAINST THIS BACKGROUND, IT DOES NOT APPEAR TO HAVE  
BEEN THE INTENT TO INSERT THE "DISPLAYED" PARAMETER IN  
THE 1965 VERSION OF SUB-ITEM (B)(1)(III)(B) -- IT CER-  
TAINLY WAS NOT THE US INTENT AND PROBABLY NOT THAT OF THE  
UK EITHER. THE FRENCH, HOWEVER, MAY AVE HAD "DISPLAYED"  
IN THE FRENCH TEXT OF DRAFT RECORD OF DISCUSSION AND IT  
FOUND ITS WAY INTO THE FRENCH TEXT OF THE SUB-ITEM. IN  
ANY EVENT, THE FRENCH ARGUMENTS ADVANCED DURING THE  
1975 REVIEW RE THE SUB-ITEM APPEARS TO BE IN CONFLICT  
WITH WHAT SEEMS TO HAVE BEEN THEIR INTENT RE THEIR NOTE  
IN 1965.

5. COPIES OF THE CITED 1965 REFERENCES HAVE BEEN FOR-  
WARDED BY POUCH IN CASE THEY ARE NOT READILY AVAILABLE  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 104154

IN STC FILES.

6. ACTION REQUESTED: (1) ASCERTAIN THE CIRCUMSTANCES  
UNDER WHICH REF 1 WAS ISSUED -- WAS THERE ANY COMMITTEE  
DISCUSSION OR WAS IT PURELY A SECRETARIAT ACTION, AND (2)  
FORWARD FOR WASHINGTON REVIEW THE FRENCH TEXT OF  
REF 8 AND THE FRENCH TEXT OF THE 1965 ACCEPTED DEFINITION

OF SUB-ITEM (B)(1)(III)(B). KISSINGER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

\*\*\* Current Handling Restrictions \*\*\* n/a

\*\*\* Current Classification \*\*\* CONFIDENTIAL

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT, EXCEPTIONS LIST, STRATEGIC TRADE CONTROLS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 05 MAY 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** GarlanWA  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975STATE104154  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** OEA:PPD:CGSEASWORD/JBOIDOCK/KAM  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** X1  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** D750157-0493  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19750592/aaaagopf.tel  
**Line Count:** 120  
**Locator:** TEXT ON MICROFILM, TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** ORIGIN COME  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 75 4TH, 75 CORRIGENDUM TO DO(6000  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** GarlanWA  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 16 MAY 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <16 MAY 2003 by CunninFX>; APPROVED <03 JUN 2003 by GarlanWA>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
05 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** <DBA CORRECTED> jms 971006  
**Subject:** COCOM LIST REVIEW - IL 1501, AVIONICS  
**TAGS:** ESTC, US, COCOM  
**To:** OECD PARIS  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006